Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/766,636	YOSHIDA, YOSHIJI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Eric Woods	2628	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this app or other appropriate communication GHTS. This application is subject to	lication. If not included will be mailed in due course. TH	
1. \boxtimes This communication is responsive to <u>Amendment filed 12/</u>	<u>19/2006</u> .		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>13-25</u> .			
 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur a)	been received. been received in Application No cuments have been received in this r	national stage application from th	
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		complying with the requirements	
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give			
 CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the state of the property of	on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-S s Amendment / Comment or in the O .84(c)) should be written on the drawin	ffice action of	
 DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT 			
 Attachment(s) 1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08),	5. Notice of Informal P 6. Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Dat 7. Examiner's Amendn 8. Examiner's Stateme 9. Other	(PTO-413), e .	

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/19/2006 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments and claim amendments, see Remarks pages 1-12, filed 12/19/2006, with respect to the rejections of claims 13--24 have been fully considered and are persuasive in view of applicant's amendments.

The objection of claim 17 has been withdrawn in view of applicant's amendments.

The objections against claims 16 and 23 stand withdrawn in view of applicant's amendments.

The objections against claims 13, 14, 18, and 19 stand withdrawn in view of applicant's amendments.

The rejection of claims 13-24 under 35 USC 103(a) stand withdrawn in view of applicant's amendments.

Application/Control Number: 10/766,636

Art Unit: 2628

The rejection of claims 13-24 under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, stands withdrawn in view of applicant's pointing out the support said limitations had in the specification.

Therefore, applicant's arguments with respect to the amended claims are moot.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 12/19/2006 was filed after the mailing date of the Final Rejection on 7/17/2006 but with the filing of the RCE on 12/19/2006. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the examiner is considering the information disclosure statement.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 13-25 are allowed.

REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

The closest available / relevant prior art (besides the Itoh reference) is found to be:

US 5,361,387	Millar et al
US 5,793,351	Leach, Jerald Gwyn
US 5,818,466	Ryu, Kyoung-Keol
US 5,892,521	Blossom et al

None of the above combination teach both a sprite buffer and a frame buffer that are synchronized in read and write mode as recited above, although Blossom et al, Itoh et al, teach a system with a pattern ROM, sprite buffer, and frame buffer, but do not

Art Unit: 2628

teach the recited synchronization of read and write timings, nor do they teach that such memories are configured to be incapable of simultaneous read-write operations (e.g. single-port). Indeed, the system of Itoh is dual-port. Ryu and Leach teach systems with sprite buffers and frame buffers but do not have the recited pattern ROM or have the synchronized timing. Millar et al discloses a system (Figure 3, 2:64-3:65, 39:34-60 (particular emphasis on 39:34-47)) that has a first memory and second memory that have priority access to a frame buffer but do not expressly recite whether the first CPU that accesses first memory (analogous to sprite buffer) has a priority on read/write accesses to that memory, although aforementioned CPU (comparable to decoder) does have priority access to that first memory (element 23 / element 38 in Figure 3).

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Therefore, in summary, the prior art does not teach a system in combination that has a memory that contains sprites stored in compressed, block form format, and single port sprite buffers and frame buffers that have synchronized opposite read/write modes via priority setting. Therefore, the recited invention is both novel and non-obvious.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Application/Control Number: 10/766,636

Art Unit: 2628

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Eric Woods whose telephone number is 571-272-7775.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ulka Chauhan can be reached on 571-272-7782. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Eric Woods

March 29, 2007

LIMMERMAN

Page 5

URY PATENT EXAMINE

CONTER 2600